



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/084,355	02/28/2002	Michiaki Sakamoto	8018-1003	5587	
466	7590 12/08/2003		EXAMINER		
YOUNG & THOMPSON			WANG, G	WANG, GEORGE Y	
745 SOUTH 23RD STREET 2ND FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22202		R	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	.,	•	2871		
			DATE MAILED: 12/08/200	3	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/084,355	SAKAMOTO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	George Y. Wang	2871				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
P ri d for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM						
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	.36(a). In no event, however, may a y within the statutory minimum of thin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI a, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  ty (30) days will be considered timely.  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 S</u>	eptember 2003.					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 February 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	•					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	n majoriku undar 25 U.C.C.	\$ 110(a) (d) or (f)				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 0.3.C.	g 119(a)-(d) 61 (1).				
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.						
37 CFR 1.78.						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) .				

Office A-41-- Common.

Doct of Davis No. 440500

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Application/Control Number: 10/084,355 Page 2

Art Unit: 2871

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

- 2. Claims 1-4, 10-16, 18-19, and 21-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tagusa et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,946,065, from hereinafter, "Tagusa") in view Applicant's Admission of Prior Art (AAPA).
- 3. As to claim 1, 15-16, and 22, Tagusa discloses a liquid crystal display (LCD) having a plurality of gate lines (fig. 1, ref. 22) that are parallel to each other, a plurality of

Art Unit: 2871

data lines (fig. 1, ref. 23) that are parallel to each other and perpendicular to the gate lines, switching elements (fig. 1, ref. 24) positioned near the intersections of the gate and data lines, a plurality of pixel electrodes (fig. 1, ref. 21) over the gate and data lines with gaps (fig. 2, ref. 712) via an interlayer insulation film (fig. 2, ref. 38) between the pixel electrodes that at least partially overlap with a gate line where the source and drain electrodes overlap with the gate line to form the switching element being entirely outside the gap between adjacent pixel electrodes (fig. 1, ref. 24; col. 10, lines 31-50), and a plurality of control electrodes (fig. 1, ref. 25) each disposed in the gap between adjacent pixel electrodes and cover the gate line.

However, the reference fails to specifically disclose an LCD with an opposing active matrix substrate with a liquid crystal layer disposed between the matrix substrates.

AAPA discloses an LCD with an opposing active matrix substrate (fig. 10, ref. 64) with a liquid crystal layer (fig. 10, ref. 65) disposed between the matrix substrates.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to dispose an an opposing active matrix substrate, and liquid crystal layer since one would not only recognize these elements as well known in the LCD art but also be motivated to improve the aperture ration of the display, minimize disturbance in the orientation of the liquid crystal molecules, and simplify the fabrication process (Tagusa, col. 7, lines 16-21). Furthermore, the influence of the capacitance between the pixel electrodes and the lines appearing on the display, such as crosstalk,

ontrol Number: 10/004,00

Art Unit: 2871

can be reduced to achieve a good display (col. 7, lines 21-24). Lastly, enhancement to brightness and wider viewing angle are also advantages (col. 7, lines 25-28).

- 4. Regarding claims 2-4, and 21, Tagusa discloses the LCD apparatus as recited above with a control electrode that overlaps with the gap in the width direction, in the region where the gate line and pixel electrode overlap (fig. 2, 13).
- 5. <u>As to claim 10</u>, Tagusa discloses the LCD device as recited above where the interlayer insulating film comprises an organic film (fig. 2, ref. 38; col. 10, ref. 1-4).
- 6. Regarding claims 11-12, Tagusa and AAPA disclose the LCD device as recited above, however, the references fail to specifically disclose the LCD having a COT structure or a reflection type LCD.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a reflection type structure since one would motivated to eliminate the need for back light and ultimately reduce power consumption (col. 1, lines 19-25). In addition, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have equally used because Applicant has failed to address any particular advantage of such a structure and because a COT structure is functionally equivalent to a reflection type LCD and serves the same purpose.

Art Unit: 2871

7. Regarding claims 13-14 and 18-19, Tagusa disclose the LCD device as recited above where the control and source electrode are coupled via an extension to the contact hole (fig. 1, ref. 26) to the pixel electrode.

8. Claims 5-9, 17, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tagusa and AAPA in view of Yao et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,682,211, from hereinafter, "Yao").

Tagusa discloses the LCD device as recited above, however, the references fail to specifically disclose a control electrode having the same potential voltage as that of the source electrode of the switching element. Furthermore, the references fail to specifically teach the control electrode on the same layer and integrally formed with the source electrode, having a multilayer structure comprising of metal.

Yao discloses an LCD device with a control electrode having the same potential voltage as that of the source electrode of the switching element (col. 3, lines 56-67). Furthermore, Yao teaches the control electrode on the same layer and integrally formed with the source electrode (fig. 4, ref. 25), having a multilayer structure (fig. 2, ref. 41) comprising of metal (fig. 2, ref. 66, 62).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a control electrode having the same potential voltage as that of the source electrode of the switching element, the control electrode on the same layer and integrally formed with the source electrode, having a multilayer structure comprising of metal create an integral since one would be motivated to create a

Control Hambon: Toroc 1,00

Art Unit: 2871

functioning LCD with optimized display quality and increased viewing angle (col. 2, lines 22-36).

## Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-19 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Page 7

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George Y. Wang whose telephone number is 703-305-7242. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8 am - 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert H. Kim can be reached on 703-305-3492. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-308-7722.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

gw November 25, 2003 Thousandhay Primary Examiner